

#### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. ,	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/499,450	02/07/2000	Theodore M. Garver	51-06 US CIP	1302
. 759	03/31/2003		•	
Freedman & Associates			EXAMINER	
117 Centrepointe Drive Suite 350			SMITH, ZANDRA V	
Nepean, ON Ka	2G 5X3		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA			2877	
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	•	09/499,450	GARVER ET AL.	
.42	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	office Action Cammary	Zandra V. Smith	2877	
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	ddress
Pariod fo	r Reply			
THE M - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, n y within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered tim ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this	ely. communication.
Status	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	— · nis action is non-final.		
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ If Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for forma	al matters, prosecution as to	the merits is
3) 🗌	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 193	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims Claim(s) <u>3-9,13-26,31-34,38,39,43 and 44</u> is/	are pending in the ap	plication.	
4)L_  	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideratio	n.	
l	he a conserved an internal lowed			
5)⊠				
6)🖂				
7)🖂	Claim(s) 32 and 33 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requireme	nt.	
8)		01 010000011100 4		
1	tion Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
9)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.	
1	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.650	a).
111	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved	b) disapproved by the Exar	niner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in t	reply to this Office action	٦.	
12)[7	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.		
1	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
Priority	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	A) All b) Some * c) None of:			
a	- us a second the priority docume	nts have been receive	ed.	
	—	ents have been receiv	ed in Application No	
	a Coming of the certified copies of the DI	riority documents have	e been received in this Natio	nal Stage
,	application from the International I	ist of the certified cop	ies not received.	
14)	l Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provision	onai application).
1	a)  The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome  and the foreign language  by the foreign language  continuous continuous language  conti	orovisional application	nas been received.	
Attachm				
1) 🖂 No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) 🔲 !	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Pape Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:	r No(s) · i (PTO-152)



Application/Control Number: 09/499,450

Art Unit: 2877

### DETAILED ACTION

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 31, 34, and 43-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clarke (5,139,334) and Yamaguchi et al (EP 714,025 A1), and further in view of Uetani et al. (5,205,965).

As to claims 31, 34, and 43, Clarke discloses a system for hydrocarbon analysis of a sample based on low resolution Raman spectral analysis, comprising;

irradiating a portion of a sample with laser light for generating a Raman spectrum; obtaining two measurements at two different wavenumbers form the Raman spectrum;

formulating a relationship by comparing a ratio (col. 3, line 65-col. 4, line 20). Clarke differs from the claimed invention in that a potential of an oxidative reductive process is not determined, however Yamaguchi discloses a system for determining hydrogen peroxide by Raman scattering (title) and the amount of hydrogen peroxide in the sample is directly related to the oxidative reductive process. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the system of Clarke to determine a potential of an oxidative reductive process since the amount of hydrogen peroxide in the system is related to the oxidative process.



Application/Control Number: 09/499,450

Art, Unit: 2877

In addition, Clark and Yamaguchi fail to specifically disclose that the sample includes molecules with elements that exist in one of a plurality of oxidation states, however Uetani discloses that in an oxidative process hydrogen peroxide has elements that exist in one of a plurality of oxidation states (col. 5, lines 32-40). Therefore, the limitation is inherently met.

As to claim 44, Clark, Yamaguchi, and Uetani discloses everything claimed, as applied above, with the exception the use of a Nernst equation, however since it has been held that the selection of a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use is within the level of ordinary skill for a worker in the art, the use of a Nernst equation would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-9, 13-26, and 38-39 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Claims 33-32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious varying the amount of peroxyl ion by varying the pH of a solution, the particular oxidative molecules, determining a characteristic of a pulp, a third measurement, or expression of the non-linear relationship, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claim.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims31-34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/499,450

Art-Unit: 2877

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zandra V. Smith whose telephone number is (703) 305-7776. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on (703)308-4881. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-0530.

Zandra V. Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 2877

March 21, 2003